# GAP TRAFFIC LIGHTS FOR ALL JURISDICTIONS

Please note: a 'green' traffic light indicates a positive assessment; a 'yellow' traffic light signals the need to exercise caution; and a 'red' traffic light underlines an area of potentially significant difficulty. The traffic lights were assigned by the law firms for all 8 criteria and by the Reviewers for criteria 1-2; where law firm and Reviewers could not agree on the traffic lights for criteria 1-2, ‡ indicates that the unanimous views of the EYPs prevailed, and + indicates that the EYPs differed and the law firm views prevailed. The year-on-year evolution of the traffic lights for criteria 1-6 is indicated with a plus, equal or minus sign, a tilde if the change has been uneven, and a blank if no comparison is available.

Delos is keen to make the traffic lights an increasingly sophisticated and valuable tool. Delos invites users and practitioners to share their experience of the jurisdictions covered in the GAP through the GAP Comments Form. The traffic lights are reviewed on an ongoing basis, and the review reflected in updated consolidated tables of traffic lights, with a version indication in the footer of this document.

Jurisdictions	1. Law (a) Framework	(b) Adherence to intl treaties	(c) Limited court intervention	(d) Arbitrator immunity from civil liability	2. Judiciary	3. Legal expertise	4. Rights of representation	5. Accessibility and safety	6. Ethics	Year-on-year evolution	7. Arb. tech friendliness	8. Delos Rules compatibility
Albania [2021]	🔴	🔴	🟢	🔴	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟡	🔴	n.a.	🟡	🔴
Algeria ‡ by Bennani & Associés	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟡	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟡	=	🟡	🟡
Angola by Miranda & Associados	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	=	🔴	🟢
Argentina by Bomchil	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Australia by Watson Farley	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Austria by Knoetzl	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Belgium by Fieldfisher	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Benin by Oya	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🔴	🔴	🟢	🟡	=	🟡	🟡
Brazil by TozziniFreire Advogados	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Bulgaria by Kambourov	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Canada by Borden Ladner Gervais	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
China (Mainland) by Herbert Smith Freehills	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡🟢	🟡🟢	🟢	🟢🟡🟢	=	🟢	🟡🟢
Côte d'Ivoire by Dogue-Yao	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	🔴	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	=	🟢	🟢
Cyprus by Christos Georgiades	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Dominican Rep. by Jimenez Peña	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🔴	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	=	🟡	🟢
Egypt by Zulficar & Partners	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	=	🟡	🟢
England & Wales (UK) by White & Case	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Ethiopia ‡ by Aman Assefa	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟡	🔴	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	=	🟡	🟢

Jurisdictions	1. Law (a) Framework	(b) Adherence to international treaties	(c) Limited court intervention	(d) Arbitrator immunity from civil liability	2. Judiciary	3. Legal expertise	4. Rights of representation	5. Accessibility and safety	6. Ethics	Year-on-year evolution	7. Arb. tech friendliness	8. Delos Rules compatibility

Please note: a 'green' traffic light indicates a positive assessment; a 'yellow' traffic light signals the need to exercise caution; and a 'red' traffic light underlines an area of potentially significant difficulty. The traffic lights were assigned by the law firms for all 8 criteria and by the Reviewers for criteria 1-2; where law firm and Reviewers could not agree on the traffic lights for criteria 1-2, ‡ indicates that the unanimous views of the EYPs prevailed, and + indicates that the EYPs differed and the law firm views prevailed. The year-on-year evolution of the traffic lights for criteria 1-6 is indicated with a plus, equal or minus sign, a tilde if the change has been uneven, and a blank if no comparison is available.

Delos is keen to make the traffic lights an increasingly sophisticated and valuable tool. Delos invites users and practitioners to share their experience of the jurisdictions covered in the GAP through the GAP Comments Form. The traffic lights are reviewed on an ongoing basis, and the review reflected in updated consolidated tables of traffic lights, with a version indication in the footer of this document.

Jurisdictions	1a	1b	1c	1d	2	3	4	5	6	Year-on-year	7	8
Finland by Castrén & Snellman	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
France by August Debouzy	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Gambia, The by Farage Andrews	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🔴	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	=	🟡	🟢
Germany by CMS Hasche Sigle	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Ghana [2021]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	n.a.	🟡	🟢
Greece by KLC Law Firm	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟡	🟢
Guinea by Thiam & Associés	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🔴	🔴	🟢	🟡	🟢	=	🔴	🟢
Hong Kong by Fangda Partners	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
India by Trilegal	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	~	🟡	🟡
Indonesia ‡ by KarimSyah Law Firm	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	=	🟡	🟢
Iran by Gheidi & Associates	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	=	🟡	🟢
Iraq by Eversheds Sutherland	🟡	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🔴	🔴	=	🔴	🟡
Italy by Legance	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	+	🟡	🟡
Japan [2021]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	n.a.	🟢	🟢
Kenya by Anjarwalla & Khanna	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟡	new	🟢	🟡
Rep. of Korea by Yulchon	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	new	🟢	🟢
Lebanon ‡ by Obeid & Partners	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Libya ‡ by MKE Lawyers	🔴	🟡	🔴	🔴	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟡	🔴	🟡	=	🔴	🟡
Mauritius [2023]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	n.a.	🟢	🟢
Mexico by Von Wobeser	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🔴	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	new	🟢	🟢
Mongolia [2021]	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	n.a.	🟡	🟡
Morocco by Gide Loyrette Nouel	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	=	🔴	🟡
New Zealand by Chapman Tripp	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢

Please note: a 'green' traffic light indicates a positive assessment; a 'yellow' traffic light signals the need to exercise caution; and a 'red' traffic light underlines an area of potentially significant difficulty. The traffic lights were assigned by the law firms for all 8 criteria and by the Reviewers for criteria 1-2; where law firm and Reviewers could not agree on the traffic lights for criteria 1-2, ‡ indicates that the unanimous views of the EYPs prevailed, and + indicates that the EYPs differed and the law firm views prevailed. The year-on-year evolution of the traffic lights for criteria 1-6 is indicated with a plus, equal or minus sign, a tilde if the change has been uneven, and a blank if no comparison is available.

Delos is keen to make the traffic lights an increasingly sophisticated and valuable tool. Delos invites users and practitioners to share their experience of the jurisdictions covered in the GAP through the GAP Comments Form. The traffic lights are reviewed on an ongoing basis, and the review reflected in updated consolidated tables of traffic lights, with a version indication in the footer of this document.

Jurisdictions	1. Law (a) Framework	(b) Adherence to international treaties	(c) Limited court intervention	(d) Arbitrator immunity from civil liability	2. Judiciary	3. Legal expertise	4. Rights of representation	5. Accessibility and safety	6. Ethics	Year-on-year evolution	7. Arb. tech friendliness	8. Delos Rules compatibility
Nigeria by Broderick Bozimo & Co.	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	=	🟡	🟢
Norway by Wikborg Rein	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Pakistan ‡ by RMA & Co.	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	new	🟢	🟢
Paraguay [2021]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	n.a.	🟢	🟢
Peru [2022]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	n.a.	🟢	🟢
Philippines, The by SyCip	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Poland by Clifford Chance	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Portugal by Morais Leitão	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Romania by Iordache Partners	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟡	=	🟢	🟢
Russia by Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟡	=	🟡	n.a.
Rwanda by K-Solutions	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🔴	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	new	🟢	🟢
Senegal [2022]	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡	n.a.	🟡	🟢
Serbia ‡ [2022]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	n.a.	🟡	🟢
Singapore by Shearman & Sterling	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
South Africa [2022]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	n.a.	🟡	🟢
Spain by Garrigues	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Sri Lanka by FJ&G de Saram	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟡	🟡	🟢	new	🟢	🟢
Sweden [2022]	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	n.a.	🟢	🟢
Switzerland by Lévy Kaufmann-Kohler	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Taiwan by Formosa Transnational	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢
Tanzania by A&K Tanzania	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟡		🟢	🟢
United Arab Emirates (UAE) by Morgan Lewis & Brockius	🟢	🟢	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟡	🟢	🟢	🟢	=	🟢	🟢

Please note: a 'green' traffic light indicates a positive assessment; a 'yellow' traffic light signals the need to exercise caution; and a 'red' traffic light underlines an area of potentially significant difficulty. The traffic lights were assigned by the law firms for all 8 criteria and by the Reviewers for criteria 1-2; where law firm and Reviewers could not agree on the traffic lights for criteria 1-2, ‡ indicates that the unanimous views of the EYPs prevailed, and + indicates that the EYPs differed and the law firm views prevailed. The year-on-year evolution of the traffic lights for criteria 1-6 is indicated with a plus, equal or minus sign, a tilde if the change has been uneven, and a blank if no comparison is available.

Delos is keen to make the traffic lights an increasingly sophisticated and valuable tool. Delos invites users and practitioners to share their experience of the jurisdictions covered in the GAP through the GAP Comments Form. The traffic lights are reviewed on an ongoing basis, and the review reflected in updated consolidated tables of traffic lights, with a version indication in the footer of this document.

Jurisdictions	1. Law (a) Framework	(b) Adherence to international treaties	(c) Limited court intervention	(d) Arbitrator immunity from civil liability	2. Judiciary	3. Legal expertise	4. Rights of representation	5. Accessibility and safety	6. Ethics	Year-on-year evolution	7. Arb. tech friendliness	8. Delos Rules compatibility
United States of America (USA)	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	=	green	green
– California by Arent Fox	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	=	green	green
– Florida by Boies Schiller Flexner	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	=	green	green
– New York by Boies Schiller Flexner	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	=	green	green
– Texas by Vinson & Elkins	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	=	green	green
– Washington D.C. by Boies	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	green	=	green	green
Vietnam [2021]	yellow	yellow	green	yellow	yellow	yellow	yellow	green	green	n.a.	yellow	yellow