

NEW ZEALAND

DELOS GUIDE TO ARBITRATION PLACES (GAP)

CHAPTER PREPARED BY

DANIEL KALDERIMIS, DANIEL STREET AND JULIAN BROWN
OF CHAPMAN TRIPP



FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

[GAP TABLE OF CONTENTS](#) | [GAP TRAFFIC LIGHTS FOR ALL JURISDICTIONS](#) | [FULL GAP ONLINE](#)

[GAP COMBINED SUMMARIES FOR IN-HOUSE AND CORPORATE COUNSEL](#)

[GAP COMBINED SUMMARIES FOR ARBITRATION PRACTITIONERS](#)

[EN DELOS MODEL CLAUSES & LIST OF SAFE SEATS](#)

[ES DELOS CLÁUSULAS MODELO & LISTA DE SEDES SEGURAS](#)

[FR DELOS CLAUSES TYPES & LISTE DE SIÈGES SÛRS](#)

[PT DELOS CLÁUSULAS MODELO & LISTA DE SEDES SEGURAS](#)

SAFESEATS@DELOSDR.ORG | DELOSDR.ORG

JURISDICTION INDICATIVE TRAFFIC LIGHTS

1. Law ●
 - a. Framework ●
 - b. Adherence to international treaties ●
 - c. Limited court intervention ●
 - d. Arbitrator immunity from civil liability ●
2. Judiciary ●
3. Legal expertise ●
4. Rights of representation ●
5. Accessibility and safety ●
6. Ethics ●

VERSION: 13 SEPTEMBER 2019 (v01.000)

There have not been any material changes requiring an update to this chapter (including the traffic lights) since the date of the latest version. Nonetheless, please note that this chapter does not constitute legal advice and its authors, the contributing law firm and Delos Dispute Resolution decline all responsibility in this regard.

IN-HOUSE AND CORPORATE COUNSEL SUMMARY

New Zealand is an arbitration-friendly jurisdiction.

New Zealand arbitral proceedings, whether ‘domestic’ or ‘international’ (generally, where at least one of the parties has its place of business in any state other than New Zealand, or the place where the subject matter of the dispute is most closely connected is outside the state in which the parties have their place of business), are governed by the Arbitration Act 1996 (the “**NZ Act**”). Arbitral proceedings are based on the principles of party autonomy, fairness and limited judicial intervention. The NZ Act recognises the confidentiality of arbitral proceedings, subject to limited exceptions. The presumption is reversed for arbitration-related court proceedings, which are public unless the court orders them private.

The NZ Act contains a set of 20 basic provisions applying to all arbitrations. These cover core matters such as arbitrability, confidentiality, and the tribunal’s powers. These are complemented by a regime of Schedules. Schedule 1 is based closely on the Model Law. Schedule 1 applies to all arbitrations where the place of arbitration is New Zealand (whether domestic or international arbitrations) save to the extent that the parties choose to opt-out of certain non-mandatory provisions. The clauses of Schedule 2 are additional and, in some cases, optional. The clauses of Schedule 2 apply to all domestic arbitrations unless the parties opt-out and to international arbitrations if the parties opt-in. Notably, clause 5 of Schedule 2, when applicable, provides for appeals to the High Court on questions of law. A ‘question of law’ includes an error of law that involves an incorrect interpretation of the applicable law (whether or not the error appears on the record of the decision) but does not include any question as to whether (i) the award or any part of it was supported by any evidence or any sufficient or substantial evidence and (ii) the arbitral tribunal drew the correct factual inferences from the relevant primary facts.

The NZ Act confers wide powers on the arbitral tribunal, including power to decide on matters relating to its jurisdiction, the conduct of the arbitral proceedings, evidentiary and procedural matters, and the remedies it may award. New Zealand courts readily enforce arbitral awards, both New Zealand awards rendered under the NZ Act and foreign awards enforceable pursuant to the New York Convention, with limited exceptions. The grounds for refusing recognition or enforcement are set out in Art 36 of Schedule 1 and mirror the grounds in the New York Convention. Art 36(3) provides that, without limiting the generality of the public policy exception, an award is contrary to the public policy of New Zealand if the award was induced or affected by fraud or corruption or a breach of natural justice occurred during the arbitral proceedings or in connection with the making of the award.

New Zealand is a party to the New York Convention,¹ the Washington Convention,² and the two earlier Geneva Conventions on arbitration.³

Key places of arbitration in the jurisdiction?	Auckland and Wellington.
Civil law / Common law environment?	Common law.
Confidentiality of arbitrations?	Yes. Arbitral proceedings are conducted confidentially unless the parties agree otherwise. The NZ Act contains comprehensive

¹ New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1958), which New Zealand ratified subject to the reservation that it will “*apply the Convention only to recognition and enforcement of awards made in the territory of another contracting State*”.

² Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and National of Other States (1965).

³ Geneva Protocol on Arbitration Clauses (1923) and Geneva Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1927).

	<p>rules on privacy and confidentiality (ss 14A – 14I of the NZ Act). The parties may, however, agree in writing to contract out of these provisions, whether in their arbitration agreement or otherwise.</p> <p>Section 14A provides that arbitral proceedings must be conducted in private. Section 14B provides that arbitration agreements are deemed to provide that the parties and the arbitral tribunal must not disclose ‘confidential information’, which means information that relates to the arbitral proceedings or to an award made in those proceedings, and includes a non-exhaustive list of such information including pleadings, submissions, evidence, transcripts, rulings and awards. Sections 14C and 14D provide some limits and exceptions to confidentiality. Under section 14E, the High Court may allow or prohibit disclosure of confidential information if the arbitral proceedings have been terminated or a party lodges an appeal concerning confidentiality.</p> <p>The position is different for court proceedings under the NZ Act. Section 14F provides that these must be conducted in public, unless the court makes an order that the whole or any part of the proceedings must be conducted in private. A court may make an order for a private hearing on the application of any party to the proceedings made under section 14G, and only if satisfied that the public interest in having the proceedings conducted in public is outweighed by the interests of any party having the whole or part of the proceedings conducted in private. In determining an application for an order under section 14F, section 14H requires that the court must consider the open justice principle and any other public interest considerations, the importance of privacy and confidentiality of arbitral proceedings, the terms of an arbitration agreement between the parties to the proceedings, and the reasons stated by the applicant for the order. If an order is made, the court file is kept private (section 14I).</p>
<p>Requirement to retain (local) counsel?</p>	<p>There is no requirement to retain local counsel for arbitral proceedings, although local counsel must be retained to appear before the New Zealand courts for court proceedings in support of arbitration.</p>
<p>Ability to present party employee witness testimony?</p>	<p>Tribunals have wide powers to decide on evidentiary matters, including the exchange of evidence and conduct of hearings for oral evidence (Arts 19 and 24, Sch 1).</p> <p>The arbitral tribunal, or a party with the approval of the arbitral tribunal, may request court assistance in taking evidence. When such request is made, the High Court may make an order of subpoena or the District Court may issue a witness summons to compel attendance before an arbitral tribunal to give evidence or produce documents. The High Court or District Court may order a witness to submit to examination on oath or affirmation before the arbitral tribunal or other person for the use of the tribunal (Art 27, Sch 1).</p>

<p>Ability to hold meetings and/or hearings outside of the seat?</p>	<p>Yes. The parties are free to agree on the place of the arbitration. In the absence of agreement, the place of arbitration will be determined by the arbitral tribunal having regard to the circumstances of the case, including the convenience of the parties (Art 20(1), Sch 1).</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, the arbitral tribunal may, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, meet at any place it considers appropriate for consultation among its members, for hearing witnesses, experts or the parties, or for inspection of goods, other property, or documents (Art 20(2), Sch 1).</p>
<p>Availability of interest as a remedy?</p>	<p>Yes. Section 12(1) provides that an arbitration agreement, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, is deemed to provide that the arbitral tribunal may award any remedy or relief that could have been ordered by the High Court if the dispute had been the subject of civil proceedings in that court. This includes interest.</p>
<p>Ability to claim for reasonable costs incurred for the arbitration?</p>	<p>Yes. Section 12(1) confers power on the tribunal to award costs, unless the parties agree otherwise. Costs will usually follow the event and there is an expectation that costs and expenses will be reasonable, and will have actually been incurred.</p> <p>Clause 6 of Sch 2, when it applies, further provides that the parties can either agree on how to allocate costs or, failing agreement, the tribunal may determine costs or, failing the tribunal determining costs, each party shall bear its own legal and other expenses and an equal share of the tribunal's fees and related expenses.</p>
<p>Restrictions regarding contingency fee arrangements and/or third-party funding?</p>	<p>There are no statutory restrictions on third-party funding. Arbitral tribunals are generally not concerned with the sources of litigation funding. Art 17, Sch 1 affords the tribunal the power to grant an order for security for costs as an interim measure. In court proceedings, however, courts may impose disclosure requirements in non-representative cases, such as disclosure of the identity of the funder, its amenability to the jurisdiction of the New Zealand courts, and details of its financial standing.</p>
<p>Party to the New York Convention?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>
<p>Other key points to note?</p>	<p>New Zealand has been a member state of the New York Convention since 1983.</p>
<p>WJP Civil Justice score (2019)</p>	<p>New Zealand ranks 11th out of 126 countries with a score of 0.78.</p>

ARBITRATION PRACTITIONER SUMMARY

Arbitration is a widely used and well understood form of dispute resolution in New Zealand.

The Arbitration Act 1996 (the “**NZ Act**”) governs arbitrations in New Zealand, whether domestic or international, commercial or consumer. One of the central purposes of the NZ Act was to promote the use of arbitration as a method of resolving commercial and other disputes. The NZ Act is closely based on the Model Law, which is incorporated (including the 2006 amendments) into Schedule 1 with minor modifications.

The clauses of Schedule 2 apply to all domestic arbitrations unless the parties opt-out and to international arbitrations if the parties opt-in. Notably, clause 5 of Schedule 2, where applicable, allows for arbitral awards to be appealed to the High Court on questions of law.

Date of arbitration law?	1996 (into force on 1 July 1997) with latest amendment in 2019.
UNCITRAL Model Law? If so, any key changes thereto?	The NZ Act is based on the UNCITRAL Model Law and New Zealand courts and arbitral tribunals may refer to the preparatory works of the Model Law in interpreting the NZ Act. Schedule 1 of the NZ Act is closely based upon the Model Law.
Availability of specialised courts or judges at the key seat(s) in the jurisdiction for handling arbitration-related matters?	The High Court of New Zealand typically handles arbitration-related matters. Arbitration matters are dealt with by suitably experienced judges. The High Court is one of general jurisdiction. There are no specialist arbitration-related courts or judges.
Availability of <i>ex parte</i> pre-arbitration interim measures?	<p>Pre-arbitration interim measures are available from the courts. The High Court or the District Court has the same powers as an arbitral tribunal to grant an interim measure under article 17A of the Act, and 17A and 17B apply with all necessary modifications. These measures can be <i>ex parte</i>, where the court has personal jurisdiction over the defendant.⁴</p> <p>Art 9(1) of Sch 1 makes no judgment as to whether the arbitral tribunal or the courts should have priority when it comes to issuing interim measures of protection. However, in practice, the parties should ordinarily apply first to the arbitral tribunal if it has been constituted.</p> <p>Practically, whether courts can grant an <i>ex parte</i> order (albeit an interim order), may depend on whether it is a domestic or foreign arbitration. Clause 3(3) of Sch 2 (which applies to domestic arbitrations unless the parties agree otherwise), provides the court with the same powers to make an order as it would have in civil proceedings before that court. Nevertheless, in a leading New Zealand High Court decision, it was held that while Art 9 empowers the court to grant interim measures, including <i>ex parte</i> interim measures, in support of a foreign arbitration, it does not, however, confer jurisdiction over a particular defendant.⁵ Therefore, interim measures will only be granted against an</p>

⁴ *Discovery Geo Corporation v STP Energy Pte Ltd* [2012] NZHC 3549; [2013] 2 NZLR 122, paras. 38-39.

⁵ *Discovery Geo Corporation v STP Energy Pte Ltd* [2012] NZHC 3549; [2013] 2 NZLR 122, paras. 38-43.

	<p>overseas person in a foreign arbitration if that person has been validly served with the application for those interim measures.</p>
<p>Courts' attitude towards the competence-competence principle?</p>	<p>The "competence-competence" principle is enshrined in Art 16(1), Sch 1.</p> <p>A party may make a plea that the arbitral tribunal does not have jurisdiction no later than the submission of the statement of defence. The tribunal may rule on the plea either as a preliminary question or in an award on the merits. Where ruled on as a preliminary matter, any party may request, within 30 days of having received notice of the ruling, the High Court to decide the matter, which decision shall be subject to no appeal. While such request is pending, the arbitral tribunal may continue the arbitral proceedings and make an award.</p>
<p>Grounds for annulment of awards additional to those based on the criteria for the recognition and enforcement of awards under the New York Convention?</p>	<p>The NZ Act allows the courts to vacate an award where it has been successfully appealed on a point of law arising from the tribunal's award pursuant to clause 5 of Sch 2. Such appeals are made to the High Court, if the parties so agree or if the High Court grants leave.</p> <p>Schedule 2 automatically applies to domestic arbitrations unless the parties agree otherwise, and to international arbitrations only if the parties agree.</p>
<p>Courts' attitude towards the recognition and enforcement of foreign awards annulled at the seat of the arbitration?</p>	<p>The courts retain a residual discretion under Art 36(1)(a)(v), Sch 1 to recognise and enforce a foreign award notwithstanding that it has been set aside or suspended by a court in the foreign seat. However, it is unlikely the New Zealand courts will do so. A court might be persuaded to enforce the foreign award where the foreign order setting it aside is tainted by a failure of substantial justice. If the foreign setting-aside and/or suspension application is still pending at the foreign seat, and if the application lacks merit, it is possible the court might refuse an adjournment and enforce the award.</p>
<p>Other key points to note?</p>	<p>∅</p>

JURISDICTION DETAILED ANALYSIS

1. The legal framework of the jurisdiction

1.1 Is the arbitration law based on the UNCITRAL Model Law?

Yes.

1.2 If yes, what key modifications if any have been made to it?

The Arbitration Act 1996 (the “**NZ Act**”), which applies to domestic and international arbitrations seated in New Zealand, is broadly based on the UNCITRAL Model Law. Schedule 1 is based very closely on the Model Law. Noteworthy differences are outlined below.

Unlike the UNCITRAL Model Law, the NZ Act is not limited to international commercial arbitration, but also extends to domestic arbitration.

The default position under the NZ Act is that, absent agreement between the parties, the tribunal will consist of a sole arbitrator for domestic arbitrations and three arbitrators for international arbitrations (Art 10, Sch 1).

Significantly, the NZ Act provides for arbitral awards to be appealed to the High Court on questions of law (clause 5, Sch 2). Questions of law include an error of law that involves an incorrect interpretation of the applicable law, but does not include any question as to whether the award or any part of it was supported by any evidence or whether the tribunal drew the correct factual inferences from the relevant primary facts. The clauses in Sch 2 apply to domestic arbitrations unless the parties opt-out, and to international arbitration if the parties opt-in. When clause 5 applies, any party may appeal to the High Court on any question of law arising out of an award if either (a) the parties have so agreed before the making of the award; or (b) with the consent of every other party after the making of the award; or (c) with the leave of the High Court. The High Court will not grant leave unless it considers that, having regard to all the circumstances, the determination of the question of law could substantially affect the rights of one or more of the parties.

The NZ Act was amended in 2007 to provide for comprehensive rules on privacy and confidentiality of arbitrations (ss 14 to 14I), which apply to all arbitrations in New Zealand unless the parties agree otherwise.

Every witness giving evidence, and every counsel or expert or other person appearing before an arbitral tribunal, shall have the same privileges and immunities as witnesses and counsel in proceedings before a court (Art 19(3), Sch 1).

Another New Zealand addition to the Model Law is that the High Court may order that any money payable under an arbitral award is paid into court, or otherwise secured, while any application to set aside that award is determined (Art 34(5), Sch 1).

Without limiting the generality of what may constitute a conflict with the public policy of New Zealand for the purposes of setting aside an award under Art 34, Sch 1 or refusing to recognise and enforce an award under Art 36, Sch 1, the NZ Act declares that an award is in conflict with the public policy of New Zealand if it was induced or affected by fraud or corruption, or a breach of the rules of natural justice occurred during the arbitral proceedings or in connection with the making of the award.

1.3 If no, what form does the arbitration law take?

Not applicable.

1.4 When was the arbitration law last revised?

8 May 2019.

2. The arbitration agreement

2.1 How do the courts in the jurisdiction determine the law governing the arbitration agreement?

The arbitration agreement may be subject to its own governing law (separate from that of the contractual agreement).

The default position is that the law governing the arbitration agreement will be the law of the seat of the arbitration unless the parties indicate otherwise (Arts 34(2)(a)(i) and 36 (1)(a)(i), Sch 1).

The parties' express choice of law for substantive disputes under the agreement is likely to be considered as such an indication.

2.2 Is the arbitration agreement considered to be independent from the rest of the contract in which it is set forth?

Yes. Art 16, Sch 1 of the NZ Act replicates the Model Law.

2.3 What are the formal requirements (if any) for an enforceable arbitration agreement?

There are no formal requirements for the form of an arbitration agreement. The agreement can either be an arbitration clause in a contract, or in the form of a separate agreement. The agreement may be made orally or in writing (Art 7(1), Sch 1). Only consumer arbitration agreements must be in written form (NZ Act, s 11).

2.4 To what extent if at all can a third party to the contract containing the arbitration agreement be bound by said arbitration agreement?

A third party cannot be bound without their consent. An arbitration can only concern disputes between parties to the arbitration agreement.

2.5 Are there restrictions to arbitrability? In the affirmative:

2.5.1 Do these restrictions relate to specific domains (such as IP, corporate law etc.)?

Any dispute may be referred to arbitration unless the agreement is contrary to public policy or, under any other law, such a dispute is not capable of determination by arbitration (NZ Act, s10(1)).

2.5.2 Do these restrictions relate to specific persons (i.e. State entities, consumers etc.)?

An arbitration agreement is enforceable against a consumer only if the consumer enters into a separate written agreement with the other party to the contract, after a dispute has arisen out of or in relation to the contract, certifying that the consumer has read and understood the arbitration agreement and agrees to be bound by it (NZ Act, s11(1)).

3. Intervention of domestic courts

3.1 Will the courts stay litigation if there is a valid arbitration agreement covering the dispute?

3.1.1 If the place of the arbitration is inside of the jurisdiction?

Yes.

3.1.2 If the place of the arbitration is outside of the jurisdiction?

Yes.

3.2 How do courts treat injunctions by arbitrators enjoining parties to stay litigation proceedings?

Should a party ignore an anti-suit injunction issued by an arbitral tribunal, the High Court can enforce that injunction to stay the litigation proceedings. When considering the interim measures of an arbitral tribunal, the High Court will apply the statutory provisions on the recognition and enforcement of awards (Sch 1, Arts 35 and 36).

3.3 On what ground(s) can the courts intervene in arbitrations seated outside of the jurisdiction?

The High Court has jurisdiction to issue anti-arbitral injunctions, staying arbitral proceedings. Those injunctions can be issued against persons who are properly subject to the jurisdiction of the New Zealand courts (i.e., have been served with proceedings in accordance with the New Zealand High Court Rules).

In addition to anti-arbitral and anti-suit injunctions, the High Court can provide assistance through confidentiality orders, staying proceedings, interim measures, assisting with the appointment of arbitrators, assistance with taking evidence, and other matters relating to the recognition, enforcement or setting aside the award.

For domestic arbitrations (unless the parties agree otherwise), and international arbitrations by agreement of the parties, the High Court can also assist with consolidation of arbitral proceedings, procedural matters regarding the conduct of the arbitration, determining preliminary points of law, appeals on questions of law, costs and extensions of time for commencing proceedings.

4. The conduct of the proceedings

4.1 Can parties retain outside counsel or be self-represented?

Yes. There are no restrictions in the NZ Act or the common law on the instruction of outside counsel or self-representation in arbitration proceedings. Note that, in court proceedings, bodies corporate, such as companies and other incorporated entities, must be represented by local legal counsel.

4.2 How strictly do courts control arbitrators' independence and impartiality? For example: does an arbitrator's failure to disclose suffice for the court to accept a challenge or do courts require that the undisclosed circumstances justify this outcome?

A person being considered as arbitrator must disclose any circumstances likely to raise justifiable doubts as to the arbitrator's independence or impartiality (Art 12(1)). This is an objective test and includes any circumstances that would cause a reasonable third party to doubt independence or impartiality.⁶

Failure to disclose can be a ground to set aside the award under art 34(2)(a)(iv) or may make the award unenforceable under art 36(1)(a)(iv).

A Court when faced with a challenge to an arbitrator on the basis of independence and impartiality must consider:⁷

- (1) What might lead the decision-maker to decide the dispute other than on its merits? And
- (2) The "*articulation of the logical connection between the matter and the feared deviation from the course of deciding the case on its merits*".

Therefore, the courts do require that the undisclosed circumstance justify the outcome by demonstrating "*apparent*" bias (although proof of actual bias is unnecessary).

⁶ *Banks v Grey District Council* [2004] 2 NZLR 19 (CA), [30].

⁷ *Saxmere Company Ltd v The Escorial Company Ltd* [2009] NZSC 72, [4].

4.3 On what grounds do courts intervene to assist in the constitution of the arbitral tribunal (in case of *ad hoc* arbitration)?

Previously the High Court had jurisdiction to assist in the appointment of the arbitral tribunal when the parties were in dispute over that appointment. Now, that jurisdiction has been transferred to the Arbitrators' and Mediators' Institute of New Zealand ("AMINZ"). Article 11(5) of the NZ Act lists the criteria that AMINZ must consider when appointing the tribunal – any qualifications required by the arbitral agreement, independence and impartiality and (for international arbitrations) nationality. If there is a dispute about the appointment made by AMINZ, or if AMINZ fails to appoint the tribunal within 30 days, then the parties can ask the High Court to make the appointment (Art 11(7), Sch 1).

4.4 Do courts have the power to issue interim measures in connection with arbitrations? If so, are they willing to consider *ex parte* requests?

Pre-arbitration interim measures are available from the courts. These can be *ex parte*, where the court has personal jurisdiction over the defendant.

Art 9(1) of Sch 1 makes no judgment as to whether the arbitral tribunal or the courts should have priority when it comes to issuing interim measures of protection. However, in practice, the parties should ordinarily apply first to the arbitral tribunal if it has been constituted.

Practically, whether courts can grant an *ex parte* order (albeit an interim order) may depend on whether it is a domestic or foreign arbitration. Clause 3(3) of Sch 2 (which applies to domestic arbitrations unless the parties agree otherwise) provides the court with the same powers to make an order as it would have in civil proceedings before that court. Nevertheless, in a leading New Zealand High Court decision, it was held that while Art 9, Sch 1 empowers the court to grant interim measures, including *ex parte* interim measures, in support of a foreign seated arbitration, it does not, however, confer jurisdiction over a particular defendant.⁸ Therefore, interim measures will only be granted against an overseas person in a foreign arbitration if that person has been validly served with the application for those interim measures.

4.5 Other than arbitrators' duty to be independent and impartial, does the law regulate the conduct of the arbitration?

4.5.1 Does it provide for the confidentiality of arbitration proceedings?

Yes, subject to limited exceptions. Note that arbitration-related court proceedings are public unless the Court orders them to be private.

Arbitrations are conducted confidentially. The NZ Act contains a detailed regime regarding the confidentiality of arbitral proceedings and, where a court so orders, court proceedings involving arbitrations (sections 14A – 14I). The parties may, however, agree in writing to contract out of these provisions, whether in the arbitration agreement or otherwise.

Section 14A provides that arbitral proceedings must be conducted in private. Section 14B provides that arbitration agreements are deemed to provide that the parties and the arbitral tribunal must not disclose 'confidential information', which means information that relates to the arbitral proceedings or to an award made in those proceedings, and includes a non-exhaustive list of such information (such as pleadings, evidence, transcripts, rulings and awards). Sections 14C and 14D provide some limits and exceptions to the no disclosure rule. Under section 14E, the High Court may allow or prohibit disclosure of confidential information if the arbitral proceedings have been terminated or a party lodges an appeal concerning confidentiality.

The position is different for court proceedings under the NZ Act. Section 14F provides that these must be conducted in public, unless the court makes an order that the whole or any part of the proceedings must be

⁸ *Discovery Geo Corporation v STP Energy Pte Ltd* [2012] NZHC 3549; [2013] 2 NZLR 122 at [38] to [43].

conducted in private. A court may make an order for a private hearing on the application of any party to the proceedings made under section 14G, and only if satisfied that the public interest in having the proceedings conducted in public is outweighed by the interests of any party having the whole or part of the proceedings conducted in private. In determining an application for an order under section 14F, section 14H requires that the court must consider the open justice principle and any other public interest considerations, the importance of privacy and confidentiality of arbitral proceedings, the terms of an arbitration agreement between the parties to the proceedings, and the reasons stated by the applicant for the order. If an order is made, the court file is kept private (section 14I).

4.5.2 Does it regulate the length of arbitration proceedings?

No.

4.5.3 Does it regulate the place where hearings and/or meetings may be held?

No. Parties are free to agree on the place of the arbitration. Failing such agreement, the place of arbitration will be determined by the arbitral tribunal having regard to the circumstances of the case, including the convenience of the parties (Art 20(1), Sch 1).

Notwithstanding the above, the arbitral tribunal may, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, meet at any place it considers appropriate for consultation among its members, for hearing witnesses, experts or the parties, or for inspection of goods, other property, or documents (Art 20(2), Sch 1).

4.5.4 Does it allow for arbitrators to issue interim measures? In the affirmative, under what conditions?

Yes, unless otherwise agreed by the parties (Art 17A, Sch 1). A party may apply for an interim measure requiring any party to do all of any of the following: (a) maintain or restore the status quo pending the determination of the dispute; (b) take action that would prevent, or refrain from taking action that is likely to cause, current or imminent harm or prejudice to the arbitral proceedings; (c) provide a means of preserving assets out of which a subsequent award may be satisfied; (d) preserve evidence that may be relevant and material to the resolution of the dispute; (e) give security for costs.

An applicant for an interim measure of the kind described in (a), (b) or (c) must satisfy the tribunal that: (1) harm not adequately reparable by an award of damages is likely to result if the measure is not granted; and (2) the harm substantially outweighs the harm that is likely to result to the respondent if the nature is granted; and (3) there is a reasonable possibility that the applicant will succeed on the merits of the claim.

An applicant for an interim measure of the kind described in (d) must satisfy the tribunal of the same matters but only to the extent that the tribunal considers appropriate.

An applicant for an interim measure of the kind described in (e) must satisfy the tribunal that the applicant will be able to pay the costs of the respondent if the applicant is unsuccessful on the merits of the claim.

4.5.5 Does it regulate the arbitrators' right to admit/exclude evidence? For example, are there any restrictions to the presentation of testimony by a party employee?

Tribunals have wide powers to decide on evidentiary matters, including the exchange of evidence and conduct of hearings for oral evidence (Arts 19 and 24, Sch 1).

The arbitral tribunal, or a party with the approval of the arbitral tribunal, may request court assistance in taking evidence. When such request is made, the High Court may make an order of subpoena or the District Court may issue a witness summons to compel attendance before an arbitral tribunal to give evidence or produce documents. The High Court of District Court may order a witness to submit to examination on oath or affirmation before the arbitral tribunal or other person for the use of the tribunal (Art 27, Sch 1).

4.5.6 Does it make it mandatory to hold a hearing?

No. The parties are free to agree that no hearing shall be held. Subject to any agreement of the parties, the tribunal shall decide whether to hold oral hearings for the presentation of evidence or for oral argument, or whether the proceedings shall be conducted on the basis of documents and other materials. However, unless the parties have agreed that no hearing be held, the tribunal shall hold such hearings at an appropriate stage of the proceedings, if so requested by a party (s 24(1)).

4.5.7 Does it prescribe principles governing the awarding of interest?

Section 12 provides that an arbitration agreement, unless otherwise agreed by the parties, is deemed to provide that the arbitral tribunal may award any remedy or relief that could have been ordered by the High Court if the dispute had been the subject of civil proceedings in that court. This includes interest.

Whether or not interest will be awarded will depend on the circumstances. Where the award is for money due on a contract, interest may be awarded at the rate, if any, provided in the contract for late payment. In addition, when there is no contractual claim to interest, the arbitral tribunal has power under section 12 to award interest on the whole or part of any sum which is awarded to any party, or which was in issue in the arbitration and paid before the date of the award. Interest may be awarded for the whole or any part of the period up to the date of the award or the date of payment, and the tribunal has power to fix the rate of interest. Unless the arbitration agreement otherwise provides, or the award otherwise directs, a sum directed to be paid by an award carries interest as from the date of the award at the same rate as a judgment debt.

4.5.8 Does it prescribe principles governing the allocation of arbitration costs?

The arbitral tribunal has discretion in respect of the allocation of costs (which will usually follow the result) but there is an expectation the costs and expenses will be reasonable, and will have actually been incurred.

4.6 Liability

4.6.1 Do arbitrators benefit from immunity to civil liability?

Section 13 provides arbitrators immunity from civil liability for negligence in respect of anything done or omitted to be done in the capacity of arbitrator.

This statutory immunity does not cover breach of contract, fraud, bad faith or other bases of civil liability.

4.6.2 Are there any concerns arising from potential criminal liability for any of the participants in an arbitration proceeding?

Allegations of criminal conduct may form part of the factual matrix in determining civil liability of the parties to the arbitration.

5. The award

5.1 Can parties waive the requirement for an award to provide reasons?

Yes. The parties can agree that an award will not contain reasons. Further in the event of a settlement between the parties, the parties may agree that an award on agreed terms be given without reasons (Sch 1, Arts 30-31).

5.2 Can parties waive the right to seek the annulment of the award?

The parties cannot agree to exclude the right to seek an annulment of an award on the grounds specified in art 34.⁹

⁹ *Methanex Motunui Ltd v Spellman* [2004] 3 NZLR 454 (CA) at [105], [108], [116] and [141].

5.3 What atypical mandatory requirements apply to the rendering of a valid award rendered at a seat in the jurisdiction?

An award must be in writing and signed by the arbitrator or arbitrators. If there are multiple arbitrators, at least a majority of them must sign the award (with an explanation given for the omission of any signature) (Art 31(1), Sch 1). A signed copy of the award must be delivered to each party (Art 31(4), Sch 1). An award must state the reasons on which it is based, except for where the parties have agreed that no reasons are to be given, or the award is on agreed terms (Art 31(2)).

5.4 Is it possible to appeal an award (as opposed to seeking its annulment)?

For a domestic arbitration, the parties have a right to appeal on questions of law (unless they agree to opt-out). For international arbitrations, the parties can agree that they will have a right to appeal on questions of law. See clause 5, Sch 2.

There are no appeals for questions of fact.

5.5 What procedures exist for the recognition and enforcement of awards, what time-limits apply and is there a distinction to be made between local and foreign awards?

An award (whether local or foreign) must be recognised as binding and, on application in writing to the High Court, must be enforced by entry as a judgment, or by action (Art 35, Sch 1). No distinction is made between awards made in NZ and other jurisdictions.

An application for recognition and enforcement may be brought in the High Court, or in the District Court (if the amount of money payable by the award is within the District Court's jurisdiction). That application must be made in writing, accompanied by the duly authenticated original award (or certified copy) and, if in writing, the original arbitration agreement (or certified copy), plus translations into English.

5.6 Does the introduction of annulment or appeal proceedings automatically suspend the exercise of the right to enforce an award?

No. However, the High Court has a discretion to stay recognition and enforcement of an award in the event of an application to set aside or suspend the award (Art 36(2), Sch 1).

The High Court has a discretion to order that any money made payable by an award that is subject to an annulment proceeding should be paid into court, or otherwise secured, until the annulment proceeding is determined (Art 34(5), Sch 1).

5.7 When a foreign award has been annulled at its seat, does such annulment preclude the award from being enforced in the jurisdiction?

The courts retain a residual discretion under Art 36(1)(a)(v), Sch 1 to recognise and enforce a foreign award notwithstanding that it has been set aside or suspended by a court in the foreign seat. However, it is unlikely the New Zealand courts will do so. A court might be persuaded to enforce the foreign award where the foreign order setting it aside is tainted by a failure of substantial justice. If the foreign setting-aside and/or suspension application is still pending at the foreign seat, and if the application lacks merit, it is possible the court might refuse an adjournment and enforce the award.

5.8 Are foreign awards readily enforceable in practice?

Yes.

6. Funding arrangements

6.1 Are there restrictions to the use of contingency or alternative fee arrangements or third-party funding at the jurisdiction?

There are no statutory restrictions on third-party funding. Arbitral tribunals are generally not concerned with the sources of litigation funding. The tribunal has the power to conduct the arbitration, or to control the conduct of the arbitration, subject to the agreement between the parties and the rules of natural justice (Art 19, Sch 1). Art 17, Sch 1 affords the tribunal the power to grant an order for security for costs as an interim measure. In court proceedings, however, courts may impose disclosure requirements in non-representative cases, such as disclosure of the identity of the funder, its amenability to the jurisdiction of the New Zealand courts, and details of its financial standing.

6.2 If so, what is the practical and/or legal impact of such restrictions?

See response to 6.1.

7. Is there likely to be any significant reform of the arbitration law in the near future?

No. There are no bills currently before Parliament to amend the NZ Act.